

Part IA — Groups

Theorems with proof

Based on lectures by J. Goedecke

Notes taken by Dexter Chua

Michaelmas 2014

These notes are not endorsed by the lecturers, and I have modified them (often significantly) after lectures. They are nowhere near accurate representations of what was actually lectured, and in particular, all errors are almost surely mine.

Examples of groups

Axioms for groups. Examples from geometry: symmetry groups of regular polygons, cube, tetrahedron. Permutations on a set; the symmetric group. Subgroups and homomorphisms. Symmetry groups as subgroups of general permutation groups. The Möbius group; cross-ratios, preservation of circles, the point at infinity. Conjugation. Fixed points of Möbius maps and iteration. [4]

Lagrange's theorem

Cosets. Lagrange's theorem. Groups of small order (up to order 8). Quaternions. Fermat-Euler theorem from the group-theoretic point of view. [5]

Group actions

Group actions; orbits and stabilizers. Orbit-stabilizer theorem. Cayley's theorem (every group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a permutation group). Conjugacy classes. Cauchy's theorem. [4]

Quotient groups

Normal subgroups, quotient groups and the isomorphism theorem. [4]

Matrix groups

The general and special linear groups; relation with the Möbius group. The orthogonal and special orthogonal groups. Proof (in \mathbb{R}^3) that every element of the orthogonal group is the product of reflections and every rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 has an axis. Basis change as an example of conjugation. [3]

Permutations

Permutations, cycles and transpositions. The sign of a permutation. Conjugacy in S_n and in A_n . Simple groups; simplicity of A_5 . [4]

Contents

0	Introduction	4
1	Groups and homomorphisms	5
1.1	Groups	5
1.2	Homomorphisms	6
1.3	Cyclic groups	8
1.4	Dihedral groups	8
1.5	Direct products of groups	8
2	Symmetric group I	9
2.1	Symmetric groups	9
2.2	Sign of permutations	10
3	Lagrange's Theorem	12
3.1	Small groups	13
3.2	Left and right cosets	14
4	Quotient groups	15
4.1	Normal subgroups	15
4.2	Quotient groups	15
4.3	The Isomorphism Theorem	16
5	Group actions	17
5.1	Group acting on sets	17
5.2	Orbits and Stabilizers	17
5.3	Important actions	18
5.4	Applications	19
6	Symmetric groups II	21
6.1	Conjugacy classes in S_n	21
6.2	Conjugacy classes in A_n	21
7	Quaternions	22
8	Matrix groups	23
8.1	General and special linear groups	23
8.2	Actions of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$	23
8.3	Orthogonal groups	23
8.4	Rotations and reflections in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3	24
8.5	Unitary groups	25
9	More on regular polyhedra	27
9.1	Symmetries of the cube	27
9.2	Symmetries of the tetrahedron	27

10 Möbius group	28
10.1 Möbius maps	28
10.2 Fixed points of Möbius maps	29
10.3 Permutation properties of Möbius maps	30
10.4 Cross-ratios	31
11 Projective line (non-examinable)	32

0 Introduction

1 Groups and homomorphisms

1.1 Groups

Proposition. Let $(G, *)$ be a group. Then

- (i) The identity is unique.
- (ii) Inverses are unique.

Proof.

- (i) Suppose e and e' are identities. Then we have $ee' = e'$, treating e as an inverse, and $ee' = e$, treating e' as an inverse. Thus $e = e'$.
- (ii) Suppose a^{-1} and b both satisfy the inverse axiom for some $a \in G$. Then $b = be = b(aa^{-1}) = (ba)a^{-1} = ea^{-1} = a^{-1}$. Thus $b = a^{-1}$. \square

Proposition. Let $(G, *)$ be a group and $a, b \in G$. Then

- (i) $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$
- (ii) $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$

Proof.

- (i) Given a^{-1} , both a and $(a^{-1})^{-1}$ satisfy

$$xa^{-1} = a^{-1}x = e.$$

By uniqueness of inverses, $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$.

- (ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)(b^{-1}a^{-1}) &= a(bb^{-1})a^{-1} \\ &= aea^{-1} \\ &= aa^{-1} \\ &= e \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(b^{-1}a^{-1})ab = e$. So $b^{-1}a^{-1}$ is an inverse of ab . By the uniqueness of inverses, $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$. \square

Lemma (Subgroup criteria I). Let $(G, *)$ be a group and $H \subseteq G$. $H \leq G$ iff

- (i) $e \in H$
- (ii) $(\forall a, b \in H) ab \in H$
- (iii) $(\forall a \in H) a^{-1} \in H$

Proof. The group axioms are satisfied as follows:

0. Closure: (ii)

1. Identity: (i). Note that H and G must have the same identity. Suppose that e_H and e_G are the identities of H and G respectively. Then $e_H e_H = e_H$. Now e_H has an inverse in G . Thus we have $e_H e_H e_H^{-1} = e_H e_H^{-1}$. So $e_H e_G = e_G$. Thus $e_H = e_G$.

2. Inverse: (iii)

3. Associativity: inherited from G . □

Lemma (Subgroup criteria II). A subset $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup of G iff:

(I) H is non-empty

(II) $(\forall a, b \in H) ab^{-1} \in H$

Proof. (I) and (II) follow trivially from (i), (ii) and (iii).

To prove that (I) and (II) imply (i), (ii) and (iii), we have

(i) H must contain at least one element a . Then $aa^{-1} = e \in H$.

(iii) $ea^{-1} = a^{-1} \in H$.

(ii) $a(b^{-1})^{-1} = ab \in H$. □

Proposition. The subgroups of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ are exactly $n\mathbb{Z}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n\mathbb{Z}$ is the integer multiples of n).

Proof. Firstly, it is trivial to show that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n\mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup. Now show that any subgroup must be in the form $n\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $H \leq \mathbb{Z}$. We know $0 \in H$. If there are no other elements in H , then $H = 0\mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, pick the smallest positive integer n in H . Then $H = n\mathbb{Z}$.

Otherwise, suppose $(\exists a \in H) n \nmid a$. Let $a = pn + q$, where $0 < q < n$. Since $a - pn \in H$, $q \in H$. Yet $q < n$ but n is the smallest member of H . Contradiction. So every $a \in H$ is divisible by n . Also, by closure, all multiples of n must be in H . So $H = n\mathbb{Z}$. □

1.2 Homomorphisms

Lemma. The composition of two bijective functions is bijective

Proposition. Suppose that $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism. Then

(i) Homomorphisms send the identity to the identity, i.e.

$$f(e_G) = e_H$$

(ii) Homomorphisms send inverses to inverses, i.e.

$$f(a^{-1}) = f(a)^{-1}$$

(iii) The composite of 2 group homomorphisms is a group homomorphism.

(iv) The inverse of an isomorphism is an isomorphism.

Proof.

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_G) &= f(e_G^2) = f(e_G)^2 \\ f(e_G)^{-1}f(e_G) &= f(e_G)^{-1}f(e_G)^2 \\ f(e_G) &= e_H \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} e_H &= f(e_G) \\ &= f(aa^{-1}) \\ &= f(a)f(a^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since inverses are unique, $f(a^{-1}) = f(a)^{-1}$.

(iii) Let $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ and $g : G_2 \rightarrow G_3$. Then $g(f(ab)) = g(f(a)f(b)) = g(f(a))g(f(b))$.(iv) Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be an isomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(ab) &= f^{-1}\{f[f^{-1}(a)]f[f^{-1}(b)]\} \\ &= f^{-1}\{f[f^{-1}(a)f^{-1}(b)]\} \\ &= f^{-1}(a)f^{-1}(b) \end{aligned}$$

So f^{-1} is a homomorphism. Since it is bijective, f^{-1} is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition. Both the image and the kernel are subgroups of the respective groups, i.e. $\text{im } f \leq H$ and $\ker f \leq G$.

Proof. Since $e_H \in \text{im } f$ and $e_G \in \ker f$, $\text{im } f$ and $\ker f$ are non-empty. Moreover, suppose $b_1, b_2 \in \text{im } f$. Now $\exists a_1, a_2 \in G$ such that $f(a_i) = b_i$. Then $b_1 b_2^{-1} = f(a_1)f(a_2^{-1}) = f(a_1 a_2^{-1}) \in \text{im } f$.

Then consider $b_1, b_2 \in \ker f$. We have $f(b_1 b_2^{-1}) = f(b_1)f(b_2)^{-1} = e^2 = e$. So $b_1 b_2^{-1} \in \ker f$. \square

Proposition. Given any homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$ and any $a \in G$, for all $k \in \ker f$, $aka^{-1} \in \ker f$.

Proof. $f(aka^{-1}) = f(a)f(k)f(a)^{-1} = f(a)ef(a)^{-1} = e$. So $aka^{-1} \in \ker f$. \square

Proposition. For all homomorphisms $f : G \rightarrow H$, f is

(i) surjective iff $\text{im } f = H$ (ii) injective iff $\ker f = \{e\}$

Proof.

(i) By definition.

(ii) We know that $f(e) = e$. So if f is injective, then by definition $\ker f = \{e\}$. If $\ker f = \{e\}$, then given a, b such that $f(a) = f(b)$, $f(ab^{-1}) = f(a)f(b)^{-1} = e$. Thus $ab^{-1} \in \ker f = \{e\}$. Then $ab^{-1} = e$ and $a = b$. \square

1.3 Cyclic groups

Lemma. For a in g , $\text{ord}(a) = |\langle a \rangle|$.

Proof. If $\text{ord}(a) = \infty$, $a^n \neq a^m$ for all $n \neq m$. Otherwise $a^{m-n} = e$. Thus $|\langle a \rangle| = \infty = \text{ord}(a)$.

Otherwise, suppose $\text{ord}(a) = k$. Thus $a^k = e$. We now claim that $\langle a \rangle = \{e, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^{k-1}\}$. Note that $\langle a \rangle$ does not contain higher powers of a as $a^k = e$ and higher powers will loop back to existing elements. There are also no repeating elements in the list provided since $a^m = a^n \Rightarrow a^{m-n} = e$. So done. \square

Proposition. Cyclic groups are abelian.

1.4 Dihedral groups

1.5 Direct products of groups

Proposition. $C_n \times C_m \cong C_{nm}$ iff $\text{hcf}(m, n) = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\text{hcf}(m, n) = 1$. Let $C_n = \langle a \rangle$ and $C_m = \langle b \rangle$. Let k be the order of (a, b) . Then $(a, b)^k = (a^k, b^k) = e$. This is possible only if $n \mid k$ and $m \mid k$, i.e. k is a common multiple of n and m . Since the order is the minimum value of k that satisfies the above equation, $k = \text{lcm}(n, m) = \frac{nm}{\text{hcf}(n, m)} = nm$.

Now consider $\langle (a, b) \rangle \leq C_n \times C_m$. Since (a, b) has order nm , $\langle (a, b) \rangle$ has nm elements. Since $C_n \times C_m$ also has nm elements, $\langle (a, b) \rangle$ must be the whole of $C_n \times C_m$. And we know that $\langle (a, b) \rangle \cong C_{nm}$. So $C_n \times C_m \cong C_{nm}$.

On the other hand, suppose $\text{hcf}(m, n) \neq 1$. Then $k = \text{lcm}(m, n) \neq mn$. Then for any $(a, b) \in C_n \times C_m$, we have $(a, b)^k = (a^k, b^k) = e$. So the order of any (a, b) is at most $k < mn$. So there is no element of order mn . So $C_n \times C_m$ is not a cyclic group of order nm . \square

Proposition (Direct product theorem). Let $H_1, H_2 \leq G$. Suppose the following are true:

- (i) $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{e\}$.
- (ii) $(\forall a_i \in H_i) a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$.
- (iii) $(\forall a \in G)(\exists a_i \in H_i) a = a_1 a_2$. We also write this as $G = H_1 H_2$.

Then $G \cong H_1 \times H_2$.

Proof. Define $f : H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow G$ by $f(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2$. Then it is a homomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned} f((a_1, a_2) * (b_1, b_2)) &= f(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) \\ &= a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \\ &= a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 \\ &= f(a_1, a_2) f(b_1, b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Surjectivity follows from (iii). We'll show injectivity by showing that the kernel is $\{e\}$. If $f(a_1, a_2) = e$, then we know that $a_1 a_2 = e$. Then $a_1 = a_2^{-1}$. Since $a_1 \in H_1$ and $a_2^{-1} \in H_2$, we have $a_1 = a_2^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2 = \{e\}$. Thus $a_1 = a_2 = e$ and $\ker f = \{e\}$. \square

2 Symmetric group I

2.1 Symmetric groups

Theorem. $\text{Sym } X$ with composition forms a group.

Proof. The groups axioms are satisfied as follows:

0. If $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ and $\tau : X \rightarrow X$, then $\sigma \circ \tau : X \rightarrow X$. If they are both bijections, then the composite is also bijective. So if $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Sym } X$, then $\sigma \circ \tau \in \text{Sym } X$.
1. The identity $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ is clearly a permutation, and gives the identity of the group.
2. Every bijective function has a bijective inverse. So if $\sigma \in \text{Sym } X$, then $\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Sym } X$.
3. Composition of functions is associative. □

Lemma. Disjoint cycles commute.

Proof. If $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ are disjoint cycles. Consider any n . Show that: $\sigma(\tau(a)) = \tau(\sigma(a))$. If a is in neither of σ and τ , then $\sigma(\tau(a)) = \tau(\sigma(a)) = a$. Otherwise, wlog assume that a is in τ but not in σ . Then $\tau(a) \in \tau$ and thus $\tau(a) \notin \sigma$. Thus $\sigma(a) = a$ and $\sigma(\tau(a)) = \tau(a)$. Therefore we have $\sigma(\tau(a)) = \tau(\sigma(a)) = \tau(a)$. Therefore τ and σ commute. □

Theorem. Any permutation in S_n can be written (essentially) uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles. (Essentially unique means unique up to re-ordering of cycles and rotation within cycles, e.g. $(1\ 2)$ and $(2\ 1)$)

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S_n$. Start with $(1\ \sigma(1)\ \sigma^2(1)\ \sigma^3(1)\ \dots)$. As the set $\{1, 2, 3 \dots n\}$ is finite, for some k , we must have $\sigma^k(1)$ already in the list. If $\sigma^k(1) = \sigma^l(1)$, with $l < k$, then $\sigma^{k-l}(1) = 1$. So all $\sigma^i(1)$ are distinct until we get back to 1. Thus we have the first cycle $(1\ \sigma(1)\ \sigma^2(1)\ \sigma^3(1)\ \dots\ \sigma^{k-1}(1))$.

Now choose the smallest number that is not yet in a cycle, say j . Repeat to obtain a cycle $(j\ \sigma(j)\ \sigma^2(j)\ \dots\ \sigma^{l-1}(j))$. Since σ is a bijection, nothing in this cycle can be in previous cycles as well.

Repeat until all $\{1, 2, 3 \dots n\}$ are exhausted. This is essentially unique because every number j completely determines the whole cycle it belongs to, and whichever number we start with, we'll end up with the same cycle. □

Lemma. For $\sigma \in S_n$, the order of σ is the least common multiple of cycle lengths in the disjoint cycle notation. In particular, a k -cycle has order k .

Proof. As disjoint cycles commute, we can group together each cycle when we take powers. i.e. if $\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_l$ with τ_i all disjoint cycles, then $\sigma^m = \tau_1^m \tau_2^m \dots \tau_l^m$.

Now if cycle τ_i has length k_i , then $\tau_i^{k_i} = e$, and $\tau_i^m = e$ iff $k_i \mid m$. To get an m such that $\sigma^m = e$, we need all k_i to divide m . i.e. m is a common multiple of k_i . Since the order is the least possible m such that $\sigma^m = e$, the order is the least common multiple of k_i . □

2.2 Sign of permutations

Proposition. Every permutation is a product of transpositions.

Proof. As each permutation is a product of disjoint cycles, it suffices to prove that each cycle is a product of transpositions. Consider a cycle $(a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots a_k)$. This is in fact equal to $(a_1 a_2)(a_2 a_3) \cdots (a_{k-1} a_k)$. Thus a k -cycle can be written as a product of $k - 1$ transpositions. \square

Theorem. Writing $\sigma \in S_n$ as a product of transpositions in different ways, σ is either always composed of an even number of transpositions, or always an odd number of transpositions.

Proof. Write $\#(\sigma)$ for the number of cycles in disjoint cycle notation, including singleton cycles. So $\#(e) = n$ and $\#((1\ 2)) = n - 1$. When we multiply σ by a transposition $\tau = (c\ d)$ (wlog assume $c < d$),

- If c, d are in the same σ -cycle, say, $(c\ a_2 \cdots a_{k-1}\ d\ a_{k+1} \cdots a_{k+l})(c\ d) = (c\ a_{k+1}\ a_{k+2} \cdots a_{k+l})(d\ a_2\ a_3 \cdots a_{k-1})$. So $\#(\sigma\tau) = \#(\sigma) + 1$.
- If c, d are in different σ -cycles, say

$$(d\ a_2\ a_3 \cdots a_{k-1})(c\ a_{k+1}\ a_{k+2} \cdots a_{k+l})(c\ d)$$

$$= (c\ a_2 \cdots a_{k-1}\ d\ a_{k+1} \cdots a_{k+l})(c\ d)(c\ d)$$

$$= (c\ a_2 \cdots a_{k-1}\ d\ a_{k+1} \cdots a_{k+l}) \text{ and } \#(\sigma\tau) = \#(\sigma) - 1.$$

Therefore for any transposition τ , $\#(\sigma\tau) \equiv \#(\sigma) + 1 \pmod{2}$.

Now suppose $\sigma = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_l = \tau'_1 \cdots \tau'_k$. Since disjoint cycle notation is unique, $\#(\sigma)$ is uniquely determined by σ .

Now we can construct σ by starting with e and multiplying the transpositions one by one. Each time we add a transposition, we increase $\#(\sigma)$ by 1 (mod 2). So $\#(\sigma) \equiv \#(e) + l \pmod{2}$. Similarly, $\#(\sigma) \equiv \#(e) + k \pmod{2}$. So $l \equiv k \pmod{2}$. \square

Theorem. For $n \geq 2$, $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

Proof. Suppose $\sigma_1 = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{l_1}$ and $\sigma_2 = \tau'_1 \cdots \tau_{l_2}$. Then $\text{sgn}(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = (-1)^{l_1+l_2} = (-1)^{l_1}(-1)^{l_2} = \text{sgn}(\sigma_1)\text{sgn}(\sigma_2)$. So it is a homomorphism.

It is surjective since $\text{sgn}(e) = 1$ and $\text{sgn}((1\ 2)) = -1$. \square

Lemma. σ is an even permutation iff the number of cycles of even length is even.

Proof. A k -cycle can be written as $k - 1$ transpositions. Thus an even-length cycle is odd, vice versa.

Since sgn is a group homomorphism, writing σ in disjoint cycle notation, $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_l$, we get $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = \text{sgn}(\sigma_1) \cdots \text{sgn}(\sigma_l)$. Suppose there are m even-length cycles and n odd-length cycles, then $\text{sgn}(\sigma) = (-1)^m 1^n$. This is equal to 1 iff $(-1)^m = 1$, i.e. m is even. \square

Proposition. Any subgroup of S_n contains either no odd permutations or exactly half.

Proof. If S_n has at least one odd permutation τ , then there exists a bijection between the odd and even permutations by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\tau$ (bijection since $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\tau^{-1}$ is a well-defined inverse). So there are as many odd permutations as even permutations. \square

3 Lagrange's Theorem

Proposition. $aH = bH \Leftrightarrow b^{-1}a \in H$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Since $a \in aH$, $a \in bH$. Then $a = bh$ for some $h \in H$. So $b^{-1}a = h \in H$.

(\Leftarrow). Let $b^{-1}a = h_0$. Then $a = bh_0$. Then $\forall ah \in aH$, we have $ah = b(h_0h) \in bH$. So $aH \subseteq bH$. Similarly, $bH \subseteq aH$. So $aH = bH$. \square

Lemma. The left cosets of a subgroup $H \leq G$ partition G , and every coset has the same size.

Proof. For each $a \in G$, $a \in aH$. Thus the union of all cosets gives all of G . Now we have to show that for all $a, b \in G$, the cosets aH and bH are either the same or disjoint.

Suppose that aH and bH are not disjoint. Let $ah_1 = bh_2 \in aH \cap bH$. Then $b^{-1}a = h_2h_1^{-1} \in H$. So $aH = bH$.

To show that they each coset has the same size, note that $f : H \rightarrow aH$ with $f(h) = ah$ is invertible with inverse $f^{-1}(h) = a^{-1}h$. Thus there exists a bijection between them and they have the same size. \square

Theorem (Lagrange's theorem). If G is a finite group and H is a subgroup of G , then $|H|$ divides $|G|$. In particular,

$$|H||G : H| = |G|.$$

Proof. Suppose that there are $|G : H|$ left cosets in total. Since the left cosets partition G , and each coset has size $|H|$, we have

$$|H||G : H| = |G|. \quad \square$$

Corollary. The order of an element divides the order of the group, i.e. for any finite group G and $a \in G$, $\text{ord}(a)$ divides $|G|$.

Proof. Consider the subgroup generated by a , which has order $\text{ord}(a)$. Then by Lagrange's theorem, $\text{ord}(a)$ divides $|G|$. \square

Corollary. The exponent of a group divides the order of the group, i.e. for any finite group G and $a \in G$, $a^{|G|} = e$.

Proof. We know that $|G| = k \text{ord}(a)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a^{|G|} = (a^{\text{ord}(a)})^k = e^k = e$. \square

Corollary. Groups of prime order are cyclic and are generated by every non-identity element.

Proof. Say $|G| = p$. If $a \in G$ is not the identity, the subgroup generated by a must have order p since it has to divide p . Thus the subgroup generated by a has the same size as G and they must be equal. Then G must be cyclic since it is equal to the subgroup generated by a . \square

Proposition. The equivalence classes form a partition of A .

Proof. By reflexivity, we have $a \in [a]$. Thus the equivalence classes cover the whole set. We must now show that for all $a, b \in A$, either $[a] = [b]$ or $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$.

Suppose $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$. Then $\exists c \in [a] \cap [b]$. So $a \sim c, b \sim c$. By symmetry, $c \sim b$. By transitivity, we have $a \sim b$. Now for all $b' \in [b]$, we have $b \sim b'$. Thus by transitivity, we have $a \sim b'$. Thus $[b] \subseteq [a]$. Similarly, $[a] \subseteq [b]$ and $[a] = [b]$. \square

Lemma. Given a group G and a subgroup H , define the equivalence relation on G with $a \sim b$ iff $b^{-1}a \in H$. The equivalence classes are the left cosets of H .

Proof. First show that it is an equivalence relation.

(i) Reflexivity: Since $aa^{-1} = e \in H$, $a \sim a$.

(ii) Symmetry: $a \sim b \Rightarrow b^{-1}a \in H \Rightarrow (b^{-1}a)^{-1} = a^{-1}b \in H \Rightarrow b \sim a$.

(iii) Transitivity: If $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, we have $b^{-1}a, c^{-1}b \in H$. So $c^{-1}bb^{-1}a = c^{-1}a \in H$. So $a \sim c$.

To show that the equivalence classes are the cosets, we have $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow b^{-1}a \in H \Leftrightarrow aH = bH$. \square

Proposition. U_n is a group under multiplication mod n .

Proof. The operation is well-defined as shown above. To check the axioms:

0. Closure: if a, b are coprime to n , then $a \cdot b$ is also coprime to n . So $[a], [b] \in U_n \Rightarrow [a] \cdot [b] = [a \cdot b] \in U_n$

1. Identity: $[1]$

2. Let $[a] \in U_n$. Consider the map $U_n \rightarrow U_n$ with $[c] \mapsto [ac]$. This is injective: if $[ac_1] = [ac_2]$, then n divides $a(c_1 - c_2)$. Since a is coprime to n , n divides $c_1 - c_2$, so $[c_1] = [c_2]$. Since U_n is finite, any injection ($U_n \rightarrow U_n$) is also a surjection. So there exists a c such that $[ac] = [a][c] = 1$. So $[c] = [a]^{-1}$.

3. Associativity (and also commutativity): inherited from \mathbb{Z} . \square

Theorem (Fermat-Euler theorem). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to n . Then

$$a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

In particular, (Fermat's Little Theorem) if $n = p$ is a prime, then for any a not a multiple of p ,

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. As a is coprime with n , $[a] \in U_n$. Then $[a]^{|U_n|} = [1]$, i.e. $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. \square

3.1 Small groups

Proposition. Any group of order 4 is either isomorphic to C_4 or $C_2 \times C_2$.

Proof. Let $|G| = 4$. By Lagrange theorem, possible element orders are 1 (e only), 2 and 4. If there is an element $a \in G$ of order 4, then $G = \langle a \rangle \cong C_4$.

Otherwise all non-identity elements have order 2. Then G must be abelian (For any a, b , $(ab)^2 = 1 \Rightarrow ab = (ab)^{-1} \Rightarrow ab = b^{-1}a^{-1} \Rightarrow ab = ba$). Pick 2 elements of order 2, say $b, c \in G$, then $\langle b \rangle = \{e, b\}$ and $\langle c \rangle = \{e, c\}$. So $\langle b \rangle \cap \langle c \rangle = \{e\}$. As G is abelian, $\langle b \rangle$ and $\langle c \rangle$ commute. We know that $bc = cb$ has order 2 as well, and is the only element of G left. So $G \cong \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$ by the direct product theorem. \square

Proposition. A group of order 6 is either cyclic or dihedral (i.e. is isomorphic to C_6 or D_6). (See proof in next section)

3.2 Left and right cosets

4 Quotient groups

4.1 Normal subgroups

Lemma.

- (i) Every subgroup of index 2 is normal.
- (ii) Any subgroup of an abelian group is normal.

Proof.

- (i) If $K \leq G$ has index 2, then there are only two possible cosets K and $G \setminus K$. As $eK = Ke$ and cosets partition G , the other left coset and right coset must be $G \setminus K$. So all left cosets and right cosets are the same.
- (ii) For all $a \in G$ and $k \in K$, we have $aka^{-1} = aa^{-1}k = k \in K$. □

Proposition. Every kernel is a normal subgroup.

Proof. Given homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow H$ and some $a \in G$, for all $k \in \ker f$, we have $f(aka^{-1}) = f(a)f(k)f(a)^{-1} = f(a)ef(a)^{-1} = e$. Therefore $aka^{-1} \in \ker f$ by definition of the kernel. □

Proposition. A group of order 6 is either cyclic or dihedral (i.e. $\cong C_6$ or D_6).

Proof. Let $|G| = 6$. By Lagrange theorem, possible element orders are 1, 2, 3 and 6. If there is an $a \in G$ of order 6, then $G = \langle a \rangle \cong C_6$. Otherwise, we can only have elements of orders 2 and 3 other than the identity. If G only has elements of order 2, the order must be a power of 2 by Sheet 1 Q. 8, which is not the case. So there must be an element r of order 3. So $\langle r \rangle \triangleleft G$ as it has index 2. Now G must also have an element s of order 2 by Sheet 1 Q. 9.

Since $\langle r \rangle$ is normal, we know that $srs^{-1} \in \langle r \rangle$. If $srs^{-1} = e$, then $r = e$, which is not true. If $srs^{-1} = r$, then $sr = rs$ and sr has order 6 (lcm of the orders of s and r), which was ruled out above. Otherwise if $srs^{-1} = r^2 = r^{-1}$, then G is dihedral by definition of the dihedral group. □

4.2 Quotient groups

Proposition. Let $K \triangleleft G$. Then the set of (left) cosets of K in G is a group under the operation $aK * bK = (ab)K$.

Proof. First show that the operation is well-defined. If $aK = a'K$ and $bK = b'K$, we want to show that $aK * bK = a'K * b'K$. We know that $a' = ak_1$ and $b' = bk_2$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in K$. Then $a'b' = ak_1bk_2$. We know that $b^{-1}k_1b \in K$. Let $b^{-1}k_1b = k_3$. Then $k_1b = bk_3$. So $a'b' = abk_3k_2 \in (ab)K$. So picking a different representative of the coset gives the same product.

1. Closure: If aK, bK are cosets, then $(ab)K$ is also a coset
2. Identity: The identity is $eK = K$ (clear from definition)
3. Inverse: The inverse of aK is $a^{-1}K$ (clear from definition)
4. Associativity: Follows from the associativity of G . □

Lemma. Given $K \triangleleft G$, the quotient map $q : G \rightarrow G/K$ with $g \mapsto gK$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

Proof. $q(ab) = (ab)K = aKbK = q(a)q(b)$. So q is a group homomorphism. Also for all $aK \in G/K$, $q(a) = aK$. So it is surjective. \square

Proposition. The quotient of a cyclic group is cyclic.

Proof. Let $G = C_n$ with $H \leq C_n$. We know that H is also cyclic. Say $C_n = \langle c \rangle$ and $H = \langle c^k \rangle \cong C_\ell$, where $k\ell = n$. We have $C_n/H = \{H, cH, c^2H, \dots, c^{k-1}H\} = \langle cH \rangle \cong C_k$. \square

4.3 The Isomorphism Theorem

Theorem (The Isomorphism Theorem). Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a group homomorphism with kernel K . Then $K \triangleleft G$ and $G/K \cong \text{im } f$.

Proof. We have proved that $K \triangleleft G$ before. We define a group homomorphism $\theta : G/K \rightarrow \text{im } f$ by $\theta(aK) = f(a)$.

First check that this is well-defined: If $a_1K = a_2K$, then $a_2^{-1}a_1 \in K$. So

$$f(a_2)^{-1}f(a_1) = f(a_2^{-1}a_1) = e.$$

So $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ and $\theta(a_1K) = \theta(a_2K)$.

Now we check that it is a group homomorphism:

$$\theta(aKbK) = \theta(abK) = f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = \theta(aK)\theta(bK).$$

To show that it is injective, suppose $\theta(aK) = \theta(bK)$. Then $f(a) = f(b)$. Hence $f(b)^{-1}f(a) = e$. Hence $b^{-1}a \in K$. So $aK = bK$.

By definition, θ is surjective since $\text{im } \theta = \text{im } f$. So θ gives an isomorphism $G/K \cong \text{im } f \leq H$. \square

Lemma. Any cyclic group is isomorphic to either \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}/(n\mathbb{Z})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $G = \langle c \rangle$. Define $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ with $m \mapsto c^m$. This is a group homomorphism since $c^{m_1+m_2} = c^{m_1}c^{m_2}$. f is surjective since G is by definition all c^m for all m . We know that $\ker f \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}$. We have three possibilities. Either

- (i) $\ker f = \{e\}$, so f is an isomorphism and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$; or
- (ii) $\ker f = \mathbb{Z}$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} = \{e\} = C_1$; or
- (iii) $\ker f = n\mathbb{Z}$ (since these are the only proper subgroups of \mathbb{Z}), then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/(n\mathbb{Z})$. \square

5 Group actions

5.1 Group acting on sets

Proposition. Let X be a set and G be a group. Then $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym } X$ is a homomorphism (i.e. an action) iff $\theta : G \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $\theta(g, x) = \varphi(g)(x)$ satisfies

0. $(\forall g \in G)(x \in X) \theta(g, x) \in X$.
1. $(\forall x \in X) \theta(e, x) = x$.
2. $(\forall g, h \in G)(\forall x \in X) \theta(g, \theta(h, x)) = \theta(gh, x)$.

5.2 Orbits and Stabilizers

Lemma. $\text{stab}(x)$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof. We know that $e(x) = x$ by definition. So $\text{stab}(x)$ is non-empty. Suppose $g, h \in \text{stab}(x)$, then $gh^{-1}(x) = g(h^{-1}(x)) = g(x) = x$. So $gh^{-1} \in \text{stab}(x)$. So $\text{stab}(x)$ is a subgroup. \square

Lemma. The orbits of an action partition X .

Proof. Firstly, $(\forall x)(x \in \text{orb}(x))$ as $e(x) = x$. So every x is in some orbit.

Then suppose $z \in \text{orb}(x)$ and $z \in \text{orb}(y)$, we have to show that $\text{orb}(x) = \text{orb}(y)$. We know that $z = g_1(x)$ and $z = g_2(y)$ for some g_1, g_2 . Then $g_1(x) = g_2(y)$ and $y = g_2^{-1}g_1(x)$.

For any $w = g_3(y) \in \text{orb}(y)$, we have $w = g_3g_2^{-1}g_1(x)$. So $w \in \text{orb}(x)$. Thus $\text{orb}(y) \subseteq \text{orb}(x)$ and similarly $\text{orb}(x) \subseteq \text{orb}(y)$. Therefore $\text{orb}(x) = \text{orb}(y)$. \square

Theorem (Orbit-stabilizer theorem). Let the group G act on X . Then there is a bijection between $\text{orb}(x)$ and cosets of $\text{stab}(x)$ in G . In particular, if G is finite, then

$$|\text{orb}(x)| |\text{stab}(x)| = |G|.$$

Proof. We biject the cosets of $\text{stab}(x)$ with elements in the orbit of x . Recall that $G : \text{stab}(x)$ is the set of cosets of $\text{stab}(x)$. We can define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : (G : \text{stab}(x)) &\rightarrow \text{orb}(x) \\ g \text{stab}(x) &\mapsto g(x). \end{aligned}$$

This is well-defined — if $g \text{stab}(x) = h \text{stab}(x)$, then $h = gk$ for some $k \in \text{stab}(x)$. So $h(x) = g(k(x)) = g(x)$.

This map is surjective since for any $y \in \text{orb}(x)$, there is some $g \in G$ such that $g(x) = y$, by definition. Then $\theta(g \text{stab}(x)) = y$. It is injective since if $g(x) = h(x)$, then $h^{-1}g(x) = x$. So $h^{-1}g \in \text{stab}(x)$. So $g \text{stab}(x) = h \text{stab}(x)$.

Hence the number of cosets is $|\text{orb}(x)|$. Then the result follows from Lagrange's theorem. \square

5.3 Important actions

Lemma (Left regular action). Any group G acts on itself by left multiplication. This action is faithful and transitive.

Proof. We have

1. $(\forall g \in G)(x \in G) g(x) = g \cdot x \in G$ by definition of a group.
2. $(\forall x \in G) e \cdot x = x$ by definition of a group.
3. $g(hx) = (gh)x$ by associativity.

So it is an action.

To show that it is faithful, we want to know that $[(\forall x \in X) gx = x] \Rightarrow g = e$. This follows directly from the uniqueness of identity.

To show that it is transitive, $\forall x, y \in G$, then $(yx^{-1})(x) = y$. So any x can be sent to any y . \square

Theorem (Cayley's theorem). Every group is isomorphic to some subgroup of some symmetric group.

Proof. Take the left regular action of G on itself. This gives a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym } G$ with $\ker \varphi = \{e\}$ as the action is faithful. By the isomorphism theorem, $G \cong \text{im } \varphi \leq \text{Sym } G$. \square

Lemma (Left coset action). Let $H \leq G$. Then G acts on the left cosets of H by left multiplication transitively.

Proof. First show that it is an action:

0. $g(aH) = (ga)H$ is a coset of H .
1. $e(aH) = (ea)H = aH$.
2. $g_1(g_2(aH)) = g_1((g_2a)H) = (g_1g_2a)H = (g_1g_2)(aH)$.

To show that it is transitive, given aH, bH , we know that $(ba^{-1})(aH) = bH$. So any aH can be mapped to bH . \square

Lemma (Conjugation action). Any group G acts on itself by conjugation (i.e. $g(x) = gxg^{-1}$).

Proof. To show that this is an action, we have

0. $g(x) = gxg^{-1} \in G$ for all $g, x \in G$.
1. $e(x) = exe^{-1} = x$
2. $g(h(x)) = g(hxh^{-1}) = ghxh^{-1}g^{-1} = (gh)x(gh)^{-1} = (gh)(x)$ \square

Lemma. Let $K \triangleleft G$. Then G acts by conjugation on K .

Proof. We only have to prove closure as the other properties follow from the conjugation action. However, by definition of a normal subgroup, for every $g \in G, k \in K$, we have $gkg^{-1} \in K$. So it is closed. \square

Proposition. Normal subgroups are exactly those subgroups which are unions of conjugacy classes.

Proof. Let $K \triangleleft G$. If $k \in K$, then by definition for every $g \in G$, we get $gkg^{-1} \in K$. So $\text{ccl}(k) \subseteq K$. So K is the union of the conjugacy classes of all its elements.

Conversely, if K is a union of conjugacy classes and a subgroup of G , then for all $k \in K, g \in G$, we have $gkg^{-1} \in K$. So K is normal. \square

Lemma. Let X be the set of subgroups of G . Then G acts by conjugation on X .

Proof. To show that it is an action, we have

0. If $H \leq G$, then we have to show that gHg^{-1} is also a subgroup. We know that $e \in H$ and thus $geg^{-1} = e \in gHg^{-1}$, so gHg^{-1} is non-empty. For any two elements gag^{-1} and $gbg^{-1} \in gHg^{-1}$, $(gag^{-1})(gbg^{-1})^{-1} = g(ab^{-1})g^{-1} \in gHg^{-1}$. So gHg^{-1} is a subgroup.

1. $eHe^{-1} = H$.

2. $g_1(g_2Hg_2^{-1})g_1^{-1} = (g_1g_2)H(g_1g_2)^{-1}$. \square

Lemma. Stabilizers of the elements in the same orbit are conjugate, i.e. let G act on X and let $g \in G, x \in X$. Then $\text{stab}(g(x)) = g \text{stab}(x)g^{-1}$.

5.4 Applications

Proof. Consider the left coset action of G on H . We get a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_n$ since there are n cosets of H . Since $H \neq G$, φ is non-trivial and $\ker \varphi \neq G$. Now $\ker \varphi \triangleleft G$. Since G is simple, $\ker \varphi = \{e\}$. So $G \cong \text{im } \varphi \subseteq S_n$ by the isomorphism theorem. So $|G| \leq |S_n| = n!$.

We can further refine this by considering $\text{sgn} \circ \varphi : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. The kernel of this composite is normal in G . So $K = \ker(\text{sgn} \circ \varphi) = \{e\}$ or G . Since $G/K \cong \text{im}(\text{sgn} \circ \varphi)$, we know that $|G|/|K| = 1$ or 2 since $\text{im}(\text{sgn} \circ \varphi)$ has at most two elements. Hence for $|G| > 2$, we cannot have $K = \{e\}$, or else $|G|/|K| > 2$. So we must have $K = G$, so $\text{sgn}(\varphi(g)) = 1$ for all g and $\text{im } \varphi \leq A_n$. So $|G| \leq n!/2$ \square

Theorem (Cauchy's Theorem). Let G be a finite group and prime p dividing $|G|$. Then G has an element of order p (in fact there must be at least $p-1$ elements of order p).

Proof. Let G and p be fixed. Consider $G^p = G \times G \times \cdots \times G$, the set of p -tuples of G . Let $X \subseteq G^p$ be $X = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \in G^p : a_1a_2 \cdots a_p = e\}$.

In particular, if an element b has order p , then $(b, b, \dots, b) \in X$. In fact, if $(b, b, \dots, b) \in X$ and $b \neq e$, then b has order p , since p is prime.

Now let $H = \langle h : h^p = e \rangle \cong C_p$ be a cyclic group of order p with generator h (This h is not related to G in any way). Let H act on X by "rotation":

$$h(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) = (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_p, a_1)$$

This is an action:

0. If $a_1 \cdots a_p = e$, then $a_1^{-1} = a_2 \cdots a_p$. So $a_2 \cdots a_p a_1 = a_1^{-1} a_1 = e$. So $(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_p, a_1) \in X$.
1. e acts as an identity by construction
2. The “associativity” condition also works by construction.

As orbits partition X , the sum of all orbit sizes must be $|X|$. We know that $|X| = |G|^{p-1}$ since we can freely choose the first $p-1$ entries and the last one must be the inverse of their product. Since p divides $|G|$, p also divides $|X|$. We have $|\text{orb}(a_1, \dots, a_p)| |\text{stab}_H(a_1, \dots, a_p)| = |H| = p$. So all orbits have size 1 or p , and they sum to $|X| = p \times \text{something}$. We know that there is one orbit of size 1, namely (e, e, \dots, e) . So there must be at least $p-1$ other orbits of size 1 for the sum to be divisible by p .

In order to have an orbit of size 1, they must look like (a, a, \dots, a) for some $a \in G$, which has order p . \square

6 Symmetric groups II

6.1 Conjugacy classes in S_n

Proposition. If $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)$ is a k -cycle and $\rho \in S_n$, then $\rho(a_1 \cdots a_k)\rho^{-1}$ is the k -cycle $(\rho(a_1) \rho(a_2) \cdots \rho(a_k))$.

Proof. Consider any $\rho(a_1)$ acted on by $\rho(a_1 \cdots a_k)\rho^{-1}$. The three permutations send it to $\rho(a_1) \mapsto a_1 \mapsto a_2 \mapsto \rho(a_2)$ and similarly for other a_i s. Since ρ is bijective, any b can be written as $\rho(a)$ for some a . So the result is the k -cycle $(\rho(a_1) \rho(a_2) \cdots \rho(a_k))$. \square

Corollary. Two elements in S_n are conjugate iff they have the same cycle type.

Proof. Suppose $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_\ell$, where σ_i are disjoint cycles. Then $\rho\sigma\rho^{-1} = \rho\sigma_1\rho^{-1}\rho\sigma_2\rho^{-1}\cdots\rho\sigma_\ell\rho^{-1}$. Since the conjugation of a cycle conserves its length, $\rho\sigma\rho^{-1}$ has the same cycle type.

Conversely, if σ, τ have the same cycle type, say

$$\sigma = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)(a_{k+1} \cdots a_{k+\ell}), \quad \tau = (b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k)(b_{k+1} \cdots b_{k+\ell}),$$

if we let $\rho(a_i) = b_i$, then $\rho\sigma\rho^{-1} = \tau$. \square

6.2 Conjugacy classes in A_n

Proposition. For $\sigma \in A_n$, the conjugacy class of σ splits in A_n if and only if no odd permutation commutes with σ .

Proof. We have the conjugacy classes splitting if and only if the centralizer does not. So instead we check whether the centralizer splits. Clearly $C_{A_n}(\sigma) = C_{S_n}(\sigma) \cap A_n$. So splitting of centralizer occurs if and only if an odd permutation commutes with σ . \square

Lemma. $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5) \in S_5$ has $C_{S_5}(\sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$.

Proof. $|ccl_{S_n}(\sigma)| = 24$ and $|S_5| = 120$. So $|C_{S_5}(\sigma)| = 5$. Clearly $\langle \sigma \rangle \subseteq C_{S_5}(\sigma)$. Since they both have size 5, we know that $C_{S_5}(\sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. \square

Theorem. A_5 is simple.

Proof. We know that normal subgroups must be unions of the conjugacy classes, must contain e and their order must divide 60. The possible orders are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 15, 20, 30. However, the conjugacy classes 1, 15, 20, 12, 12 cannot add up to any of the possible orders apart from 1 and 60. So we only have trivial normal subgroups. \square

7 Quaternions

Lemma. If G has order 8, then either G is abelian (i.e. $\cong C_8, C_4 \times C_2$ or $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$), or G is not abelian and isomorphic to D_8 or Q_8 (dihedral or quaternion).

Proof. Consider the different possible cases:

- If G contains an element of order 8, then $G \cong C_8$.
- If all non-identity elements have order 2, then G is abelian (Sheet 1, Q8). Let $a \neq b \in G \setminus \{e\}$. By the direct product theorem, $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$. Then take $c \notin \langle a, b \rangle$. By the direct product theorem, we obtain $\langle a, b, c \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Since $\langle a, b, c \rangle \subseteq G$ and $|\langle a, b, c \rangle| = |G|$, $G = \langle a, b, c \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.
- G has no element of order 8 but has an order 4 element $a \in G$. Let $H = \langle a \rangle$. Since H has index 2, it is normal in G . So $G/H \cong C_2$ since $|G/H| = 2$. This means that for any $b \notin H$, bH generates G/H . Then $(bH)^2 = b^2H = H$. So $b^2 \in H$. Since $b^2 \in \langle a \rangle$ and $\langle a \rangle$ is a cyclic group, b^2 commutes with a .

If $b^2 = a$ or a^3 , then b has order 8. Contradiction. So $b^2 = e$ or a^2 .

We also know that H is normal, so $bab^{-1} \in H$. Let $bab^{-1} = a^\ell$. Since a and b^2 commute, we know that $a = b^2ab^{-2} = b(bab^{-1})b^{-1} = ba^\ell b^{-1} = (bab^{-1})^\ell = a^{\ell^2}$. So $\ell^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. So $\ell \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$.

- o When $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $bab^{-1} = a$, i.e. $ba = ab$. So G is abelian.
 - * If $b^2 = e$, then $G = \langle a, b \rangle \cong \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \cong C_4 \times C_2$.
 - * If $b^2 = a^2$, then $(ba^{-1})^2 = e$. So $G = \langle a, ba^{-1} \rangle \cong C_4 \times C_2$.
- o If $\ell \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $bab^{-1} = a^{-1}$.
 - * If $b^2 = e$, then $G = \langle a, b : a^4 = e = b^2, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle$. So $G \cong D_8$ by definition.
 - * If $b^2 = a^2$, then we have $G \cong Q_8$. □

8 Matrix groups

8.1 General and special linear groups

Proposition. $\text{GL}_n(F)$ is a group.

Proof. Identity is I , which is in $\text{GL}_n(F)$ by definition (I is its self-inverse). The composition of invertible matrices is invertible, so is closed. Inverse exist by definition. Multiplication is associative. \square

Proposition. $\det : \text{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow F \setminus \{0\}$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

Proof. $\det AB = \det A \det B$. If A is invertible, it has non-zero determinant and $\det A \in F \setminus \{0\}$.

To show it is surjective, for any $x \in F \setminus \{0\}$, if we take the identity matrix and replace I_{11} with x , then the determinant is x . So it is surjective. \square

8.2 Actions of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Proposition. $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts faithfully on \mathbb{C}^n by left multiplication to the vector, with two orbits ($\mathbf{0}$ and everything else).

Proof. First show that it is a group action:

1. If $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $A\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. So it is closed.
2. $I\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
3. $A(B\mathbf{v}) = (AB)\mathbf{v}$.

Now prove that it is faithful: a linear map is determined by what it does on a basis. Take the standard basis $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, \mathbf{e}_n = (0, \dots, 1)$. Any matrix which maps each \mathbf{e}_k to itself must be I (since the columns of a matrix are the images of the basis vectors)

To show that there are 2 orbits, we know that $A\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ for all A . Also, as A is invertible, $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. So $\mathbf{0}$ forms a singleton orbit. Then given any two vectors $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$, there is a matrix $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $A\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$ (cf. Vectors and Matrices). \square

Proposition. $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ by conjugation. (Proof is trivial)

8.3 Orthogonal groups

Lemma (Orthogonal matrices are isometries). For any orthogonal A and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

- (i) $(A\mathbf{x}) \cdot (A\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$
- (ii) $|A\mathbf{x}| = |\mathbf{x}|$

Proof. Treat the dot product as a matrix multiplication. So

$$(A\mathbf{x})^T(A\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^T A^T A \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T I \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Then we have $|A\mathbf{x}|^2 = (A\mathbf{x}) \cdot (A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = |\mathbf{x}|^2$. Since both are positive, we know that $|A\mathbf{x}| = |\mathbf{x}|$. \square

Lemma. The orthogonal group is a group.

Proof. We have to check that it is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$: It is non-empty, since $I \in \text{O}(n)$. If $A, B \in \text{O}(n)$, then $(AB^{-1})(AB^{-1})^T = AB^{-1}(B^{-1})^T A^T = AB^{-1}BA^{-1} = I$, so $AB^{-1} \in \text{O}(n)$ and this is indeed a subgroup. \square

Proposition. $\det : \text{O}(n) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

Proof. For $A \in \text{O}(n)$, we know that $A^T A = I$. So $\det A^T A = (\det A)^2 = 1$. So $\det A = \pm 1$. Since $\det(AB) = \det A \det B$, it is a homomorphism. We have

$$\det I = 1, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -1,$$

so it is surjective. \square

Lemma. $\text{O}(n) = \text{SO}(n) \cup \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{SO}(n)$

Proof. Cosets partition the group. \square

8.4 Rotations and reflections in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3

Lemma. $\text{SO}(2)$ consists of all rotations of \mathbb{R}^2 around 0.

Proof. Let $A \in \text{SO}(2)$. So $A^T A = I$ and $\det A = 1$. Suppose $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$. So $A^T = A^{-1}$ implies $ad - bc = 1$, $c = -b$, $d = a$.

Combining these equations we obtain $a^2 + c^2 = 1$. Set $a = \cos \theta = d$, and $c = \sin \theta = -b$. Then these satisfies all three equations. So

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that A maps $(1, 0)$ to $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$, and maps $(0, 1)$ to $(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$, which are rotations by θ counterclockwise. So A represents a rotation by θ . \square

Corollary. Any matrix in $\text{O}(2)$ is either a rotation around 0 or a reflection in a line through 0.

Proof. If $A \in \text{SO}(2)$, we've show that it is a rotation. Otherwise,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

since $\text{O}(2) = \text{SO}(2) \cup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{SO}(2)$. This has eigenvalues $1, -1$. So it is a reflection in the line of the eigenspace E_1 . The line goes through $\mathbf{0}$ since the eigenspace is a subspace which must include $\mathbf{0}$. \square

Lemma. Every matrix in $\text{SO}(3)$ is a rotation around some axis.

Proof. Let $A \in \text{SO}(3)$. We know that $\det A = 1$ and A is an isometry. The eigenvalues λ must have $|\lambda| = 1$. They also multiply to $\det A = 1$. Since we are in \mathbb{R} , complex eigenvalues come in complex conjugate pairs. If there are complex eigenvalues λ and $\bar{\lambda}$, then $\lambda\bar{\lambda} = |\lambda|^2 = 1$. The third eigenvalue must be real and has to be $+1$.

If all eigenvalues are real. Then eigenvalues are either 1 or -1 , and must multiply to 1 . The possibilities are $1, 1, 1$ and $-1, -1, 1$, all of which contain an eigenvalue of 1 .

So pick an eigenvector for our eigenvalue 1 as the third basis vector. Then in some orthonormal basis,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the third column is the image of the third basis vector, and by orthogonality the third row is $0, 0, 1$. Now let

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

with $\det A' = 1$. A' is still orthogonal, so $A' \in \text{SO}(2)$. Therefore A' is a rotation and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in some basis, and this is exactly the rotation through an axis. \square

Lemma. Every matrix in $\text{O}(3)$ is the product of at most three reflections in planes through 0 .

Proof. Recall $\text{O}(3) = \text{SO}(3) \cup \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{SO}(3)$. So if $A \in \text{SO}(3)$, we know

that $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in some basis, which is a composite of two reflections:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Then if $A \in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{SO}(3)$, then it is automatically a product of three reflections. \square

8.5 Unitary groups

Lemma. $\det : \text{U}(n) \rightarrow S^1$, where S^1 is the unit circle in the complex plane, is a surjective group homomorphism.

Proof. We know that $1 = \det I = \det A^\dagger A = |\det A|^2$. So $|\det A| = 1$. Since $\det AB = \det A \det B$, it is a group homomorphism.

Now given $\lambda \in S_1$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(n)$. So it is surjective. \square

9 More on regular polyhedra

9.1 Symmetries of the cube

Proposition. $G^+ \cong S_4$, where G^+ is the group of all rotations of the cube.

Proof. Consider G^+ acting on the 4 diagonals of the cube. This gives a group homomorphism $\varphi : G^+ \rightarrow S_4$. We have $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) \in \text{im } \varphi$ by rotation around the axis through the top and bottom face. We also $(1\ 2) \in \text{im } \varphi$ by rotation around the axis through the mid-point of the edge connect 1 and 2. Since $(1\ 2)$ and $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$ generate S_4 (Sheet 2 Q. 5d), $\text{im } \varphi = S_4$, i.e. φ is surjective. Since $|S_4| = |G^+|$, φ must be an isomorphism. \square

Proposition. $G \cong S_4 \times C_2$, where G is the group of all symmetries of the cube.

Proof. Let τ be “reflection in mid-point” as shown above. This commutes with everything. (Actually it is enough to check that it commutes with rotations only)

We have to show that $G = G^+ \langle \tau \rangle$. This can be deduced using sizes: since G^+ and $\langle \tau \rangle$ intersect at e only, (i) and (ii) of the Direct Product Theorem gives an injective group homomorphism $G^+ \times \langle \tau \rangle \rightarrow G$. Since both sides have the same size, the homomorphism must be surjective as well. So $G \cong G^+ \times \langle \tau \rangle \cong S_4 \times C_2$. \square

9.2 Symmetries of the tetrahedron

10 Möbius group

10.1 Möbius maps

Lemma. The Möbius maps are bijections $\mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Proof. The inverse of $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ is $g(z) = \frac{dz-b}{-cz+a}$, which we can check by composition both ways. \square

Proposition. The Möbius maps form a group M under function composition. (The Möbius group)

Proof. The group axioms are shown as follows:

$$0. \text{ If } f_1(z) = \frac{a_1z+b_1}{c_1z+d_1} \text{ and } f_2(z) = \frac{a_2z+b_2}{c_2z+d_2}, \text{ then } f_2 \circ f_1(z) = \frac{a_2 \left(\frac{a_1z+b_1}{c_1z+d_1} \right) + b_2}{c_2 \left(\frac{a_1z+b_1}{c_1z+d_1} \right) + d_2} = \frac{(a_1a_2 + b_2c_1)z + (a_2b_1 + b_2d_1)}{(c_2a_1 + d_2c_1)z + (c_2b_1 + d_1d_2)}.$$

Now we have to check that $ad - bc \neq 0$: we have $(a_1a_2 + b_2c_1)(c_2b_1 + d_1d_2) - (a_2b_1 + b_2d_1)(c_2a_1 + d_2c_1) = (a_1d_1 - b_1c_1)(a_2d_2 - b_2c_2) \neq 0$.

(This works for $z \neq \infty, -\frac{d_1}{c_1}$. We have to manually check the special cases, which is simply yet more tedious algebra)

1. The identity function is $1(z) = \frac{1z+0}{0z+1}$ which satisfies $ad - bc \neq 0$.
2. We have shown above that $f^{-1}(z) = \frac{dz-b}{-cz+a}$ with $da - bc \neq 0$, which are also Möbius maps
3. Composition of functions is always associative

\square

Proposition. The map $\theta : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M$ sending $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ is a surjective group homomorphism.

Proof. Firstly, since the determinant $ad - bc$ of any matrix in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is non-zero, it does map to a Möbius map. This also shows that θ is surjective.

We have previously calculated that

$$\theta(A_2) \circ \theta(A_1) = \frac{(a_1a_2 + b_2c_1)z + (a_2b_1 + b_2d_1)}{(c_2a_1 + d_2c_1)z + (c_2b_1 + d_1d_2)} = \theta(A_2A_1)$$

So it is a homomorphism. \square

Proposition. Every Möbius map is a composite of maps of the following form:

- (i) Dilation/rotation: $f(z) = az, a \neq 0$
- (ii) Translation: $f(z) = z + b$
- (iii) Inversion: $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$

Proof. Let $\frac{az+b}{cz+d} \in M$.

If $c = 0$, i.e. $g(\infty) = \infty$, then $g(z) = \frac{a}{d}z + \frac{b}{d}$, i.e.

$$z \mapsto \frac{a}{d}z \mapsto \frac{a}{d}z + \frac{b}{d}.$$

If $c \neq 0$, let $g(\infty) = z_0$, Let $h(z) = \frac{1}{z-z_0}$. Then $hg(\infty) = \infty$ is of the above form. We have $h^{-1}(w) = \frac{1}{w} + z_0$ being of type (iii) followed by (ii). So $g = h^{-1}(hg)$ is a composition of maps of the three forms listed above.

Alternatively, with sufficient magic, we have

$$z \mapsto z + \frac{d}{c} \mapsto \frac{1}{z + \frac{d}{c}} \mapsto -\frac{ad+bc}{c^2(z + \frac{d}{c})} \mapsto \frac{a}{c} - \frac{ad+bc}{c^2(z + \frac{d}{c})} = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}. \quad \square$$

10.2 Fixed points of Möbius maps

Proposition. Any Möbius map with at least 3 fixed points must be the identity.

Proof. Consider $f(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$. This has fixed points at those z which satisfy $\frac{az+b}{cz+d} = z \Leftrightarrow cz^2 + (d-a)z - b = 0$. A quadratic has at most two roots, unless $c = b = 0$ and $d = a$, in which the equation just says $0 = 0$.

However, if $c = b = 0$ and $d = a$, then f is just the identity. \square

Proposition. Any Möbius map is conjugate to $f(z) = \nu z$ for some $\nu \neq 0$ or to $f(z) = z + 1$.

Proof. We have the surjective group homomorphism $\theta : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M$. The conjugacy classes of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are of types

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto g(z) = \frac{\lambda z + 0}{0z + \mu} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}z \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto g(z) = \frac{\lambda z + 0}{0z + \lambda} = 1z \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto g(z) = \frac{\lambda z + 1}{\lambda} = z + \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

But the last one is not in the form $z + 1$. We know that the last $g(z)$ can also be represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (since that's its Jordan-normal form). So $z + \frac{1}{\lambda}$ is also conjugate to $z + 1$. \square

Proposition. Every non-identity has exactly 1 or 2 fixed points.

Proof. Given $f \in M$ and $f \neq \text{id}$. So $\exists h \in M$ such that $hfh^{-1}(z) = \nu z$. Now $f(w) = w \Leftrightarrow hf(w) = h(w) \Leftrightarrow hfh^{-1}(h(w)) = h(w)$. So $h(w)$ is a fixed point of hfh^{-1} . Since h is a bijection, f and hfh^{-1} have the same number of fixed points.

So f has exactly 2 fixed points if f is conjugate to νz , and exactly 1 fixed point if f is conjugate to $z + 1$. \square

10.3 Permutation properties of Möbius maps

Proposition. Given $f, g \in M$. If $\exists z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ such that $f(z_i) = g(z_i)$, then $f = g$. i.e. every Möbius map is uniquely determined by three points.

Proof. As Möbius maps are invertible, write $f(z_i) = g(z_i)$ as $g^{-1}f(z_i) = z_i$. So $g^{-1}f$ has three fixed points. So $g^{-1}f$ must be the identity. So $f = g$. \square

Proposition. The Möbius group M acts sharply three-transitively on \mathbb{C}_∞ .

Proof. We want to show that we can send any three points to any other three points. However, it is easier to show that we can send any three points to $0, 1, \infty$.

Suppose we want to send $z_1 \mapsto \infty, z_2 \mapsto 0, z_3 \mapsto 1$. Then the following works:

$$f(z) = \frac{(z - z_2)(z_3 - z_1)}{(z - z_1)(z_3 - z_2)}$$

If any term z_i is ∞ , we simply remove the terms with z_i , e.g. if $z_1 = \infty$, we have $f(z) = \frac{z - z_2}{z_3 - z_2}$.

So given also w_1, w_2, w_3 distinct in \mathbb{C}_∞ and $g \in M$ sending $w_1 \mapsto \infty, w_2 \mapsto 0, w_3 \mapsto 1$, then we have $g^{-1}f(z_i) = w_i$.

The uniqueness of the map follows from the fact that a Möbius map is uniquely determined by 3 points. \square

Lemma. The general equation of a circle or straight line in \mathbb{C} is

$$Az\bar{z} + \bar{B}z + B\bar{z} + C = 0,$$

where $A, C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|B|^2 > AC$.

Proof. This comes from noting that $|z - B| = r$ for $r \in \mathbb{R} > 0$ is a circle; $|z - a| = |z - b|$ with $a \neq b$ is a line. The detailed proof can be found in Vectors and Matrices. \square

Proposition. Möbius maps send circles/straight lines to circles/straight lines. Note that it can send circles to straight lines and vice versa.

Alternatively, Möbius maps send circles on the Riemann sphere to circles on the Riemann sphere.

Proof. We can either calculate it directly using $w = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \Leftrightarrow z = \frac{dw-b}{-cw+a}$ and substituting z into the circle equation, which gives $A'w\bar{w} + \bar{B}'w + B'\bar{w} + C' = 0$ with $A', C' \in \mathbb{R}$.

Alternatively, we know that each Möbius map is a composition of translation, dilation/rotation and inversion. We can check for each of the three types. Clearly dilation/rotation and translation maps a circle/line to a circle/line. So we simply do inversion: if $w = z^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} Az\bar{z} + \bar{B}z + B\bar{z} + C &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow Cw\bar{w} + Bw + \bar{B}\bar{w} + A &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

10.4 Cross-ratios

Lemma. For $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ all distinct, then

$$[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] = [z_2, z_1, z_4, z_3] = [z_3, z_4, z_1, z_2] = [z_4, z_3, z_2, z_1]$$

i.e. if we perform a double transposition on the entries, the cross-ratio is retained.

Proof. By inspection of the formula. \square

Proposition. If $f \in M$, then $[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] = [f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)]$.

Proof. Use our original definition of the cross ratio (instead of the formula). Let g be the unique Möbius map such that $[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] = g(z_4) = \lambda$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &\xrightarrow{g} \infty \\ z_2 &\mapsto 0 \\ z_3 &\mapsto 1 \\ z_4 &\mapsto \lambda \end{aligned}$$

We know that gf^{-1} sends

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_1) &\xrightarrow{f^{-1}} z_1 \xrightarrow{g} \infty \\ f(z_2) &\xrightarrow{f^{-1}} z_2 \xrightarrow{g} 0 \\ f(z_3) &\xrightarrow{f^{-1}} z_3 \xrightarrow{g} 1 \\ f(z_4) &\xrightarrow{f^{-1}} z_4 \xrightarrow{g} \lambda \end{aligned}$$

So $[f(z_1), f(z_2), f(z_3), f(z_4)] = gf^{-1}f(z_4) = g(z_4) = \lambda$. \square

Corollary. z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 lie on some circle/straight line iff $[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4] \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let C be the circle/line through z_1, z_2, z_3 . Let g be the unique Möbius map with $g(z_1) = \infty$, $g(z_2) = 0$, $g(z_3) = 1$. Then $g(z_4) = [z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]$ by definition.

Since we know that Möbius maps preserve circle/lines, $z_4 \in C \Leftrightarrow g(z_4)$ is on the line through $\infty, 0, 1$, i.e. $g(z_4) \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

11 Projective line (non-examinable)