

# Part III — Local Fields

## Theorems

Based on lectures by H. C. Johansson

Notes taken by Dexter Chua

Michaelmas 2016

These notes are not endorsed by the lecturers, and I have modified them (often significantly) after lectures. They are nowhere near accurate representations of what was actually lectured, and in particular, all errors are almost surely mine.

The  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (where  $p$  is any prime) were invented by Hensel in the late 19th century, with a view to introduce function-theoretic methods into number theory. They are formed by completing  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$ , defined for non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  by  $|x|_p = p^{-n}$ , where  $x = p^n a/b$  with  $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime to  $p$ . The  $p$ -adic absolute value allows one to study congruences modulo all powers of  $p$  simultaneously, using analytic methods. The concept of a local field is an abstraction of the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and the theory involves an interesting blend of algebra and analysis. Local fields provide a natural tool to attack many number-theoretic problems, and they are ubiquitous in modern algebraic number theory and arithmetic geometry.

Topics likely to be covered include:

- The  $p$ -adic numbers. Local fields and their structure.
- Finite extensions, Galois theory and basic ramification theory.
- Polynomial equations; Hensel's Lemma, Newton polygons.
- Continuous functions on the  $p$ -adic integers, Mahler's Theorem.
- Local class field theory (time permitting).

### Pre-requisites

Basic algebra, including Galois theory, and basic concepts from point set topology and metric spaces. Some prior exposure to number fields might be useful, but is not essential.

# Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Basic theory</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Fields . . . . .	4
1.2	Rings . . . . .	4
1.3	Topological rings . . . . .	5
1.4	The $p$ -adic numbers . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Valued fields</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Hensel's lemma . . . . .	6
2.2	Extension of norms . . . . .	6
2.3	Newton polygons . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Discretely valued fields</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Teichmüller lifts . . . . .	8
3.2	Witt vectors* . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Some <math>p</math>-adic analysis</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Ramification theory for local fields</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Ramification index and inertia degree . . . . .	11
5.2	Unramified extensions . . . . .	11
5.3	Totally ramified extensions . . . . .	11
<b>6</b>	<b>Further ramification theory</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1	Some filtrations . . . . .	13
6.2	Multiple extensions . . . . .	13
<b>7</b>	<b>Local class field theory</b>	<b>15</b>
7.1	Infinite Galois theory . . . . .	15
7.2	Unramified extensions and Weil group . . . . .	15
7.3	Main theorems of local class field theory . . . . .	16
<b>8</b>	<b>Lubin–Tate theory</b>	<b>17</b>
8.1	Motivating example . . . . .	17
8.2	Formal groups . . . . .	17
8.3	Lubin–Tate extensions . . . . .	18

## 0 Introduction

# 1 Basic theory

## 1.1 Fields

**Proposition.**  $||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|$ . Here the outer absolute value on the left hand side is the usual absolute value of  $\mathbb{R}$ , while the others are the absolute values of the relevant field.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a field, and  $|\cdot|, |\cdot|'$  be absolute values on  $K$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $|\cdot|$  and  $|\cdot|'$  are equivalent
- (ii)  $|x| < 1$  implies  $|x|' < 1$  for all  $x \in K$
- (iii) There is some  $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $|x|^s = |x|'$  for all  $x \in K$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a non-archimedean valued field, and let  $x \in K$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Let  $z \in B(x, r)$ . Then

$$B(x, r) = B(z, r).$$

**Corollary.** Closed balls are open.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean valued field, and  $x, y \in K$ . If  $|x| > |y|$ , then  $|x + y| = |x|$ .

More generally, if  $x = \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} x_i$  and the non-zero  $|x_i|$  are distinct, then  $|x| = \max |x_i|$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a valued field.

- (i) Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $K$ . If  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ , then  $x_n$  is Cauchy.

If we assume further that  $K$  is complete, then

- (ii) Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $K$ . If  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ , then a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $K$  converges.
- (iii) Let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n$  be a series in  $K$ . If  $y_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n$  converges.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a valued field. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \{x : |x| \leq 1\}$$

is an open subring of  $K$ . Moreover, for each  $r \in (0, 1]$ , the subsets  $\{x : |x| < r\}$  and  $\{x : |x| \leq r\}$  are open ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{x : |x| = 1\}$ .

## 1.2 Rings

**Theorem.** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be rings. Then  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$  are all integral iff  $R[s_1, \dots, s_n] \subseteq S$  is a finitely-generated  $R$ -module.

**Proposition.** For any  $A$ , we have  $A^*A = AA^* = \det(A)I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix.

**Corollary.** Let  $R \subseteq S$  be rings. If  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  are integral over  $R$ , then  $s_1 + s_2$  and  $s_1s_2$  are integral over  $R$ . In particular, the set  $\tilde{R} \subseteq S$  of all elements in  $S$  integral over  $R$  is a ring, known as the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$ .

### 1.3 Topological rings

**Proposition.** The set of all  $I$ -adically open sets form a topology on  $R$ , called the  $I$ -adic topology.

**Proposition.** The inverse limit topology is a ring topology.

**Proposition.** Giving a continuous ring homomorphism  $g : S \rightarrow \varprojlim R_n$  is the same as giving a continuous ring homomorphism  $g_n : S \rightarrow R_n$  for each  $n$ , such that each of the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{g_n} & R_n \\ & \searrow^{g_{n-1}} & \downarrow f_{n-1} \\ & & R_{n-1} \end{array}$$

### 1.4 The $p$ -adic numbers

**Proposition.** The  $p$ -adic absolute value is an absolute value.

**Proposition.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  inside  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Proposition.** The non-zero ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are  $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^n \mathbb{Z}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p}{p^n \mathbb{Z}_p}.$$

**Corollary.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a PID with a unique prime element  $p$  (up to units).

**Proposition.** The topology on  $\mathbb{Z}$  induced by  $|\cdot|_p$  is the  $p$ -adic topology (i.e. the  $p\mathbb{Z}$ -adic topology).

**Proposition.**  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is  $p$ -adically complete and is (isomorphic to) the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Corollary.** Every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  has a unique expansion

$$a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i.$$

with  $a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ .

More generally, for any  $a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ , there is a unique expansion

$$a = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i p^i$$

for  $a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$  and

$$n = -\log_p |a|_p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

## 2 Valued fields

### 2.1 Hensel's lemma

**Theorem** (Hensel's lemma). Let  $K$  be a complete valued field, and let  $f \in K[x]$  be primitive. Put  $\bar{f} = f \bmod \mathfrak{m} \in k[x]$ . If there is a factorization

$$\bar{f}(x) = \bar{g}(x)\bar{h}(x)$$

with  $(\bar{g}, \bar{h}) = 1$ , then there is a factorization

$$f(x) = g(x)h(x)$$

in  $\mathcal{O}[x]$  with

$$\bar{g} = g, \quad \bar{h} = h \pmod{\mathfrak{m}},$$

with  $\deg g = \deg \bar{g}$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in K[x]$  where  $K$  is complete and  $a_0, a_n \neq 0$ . If  $f$  is irreducible, then

$$|a_\ell| \leq \max(|a_0|, |a_n|)$$

for all  $\ell$ .

**Corollary** (of Hensel's lemma). Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}[x]$  be monic, and  $K$  complete. If  $f \bmod \mathfrak{m}$  has a simple root  $\bar{\alpha} \in k$ , then  $f$  has a (unique) simple root  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$  lifting  $\bar{\alpha}$ .

### 2.2 Extension of norms

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a complete valued field, and let  $L/K$  be a finite extension. Then the absolute value on  $K$  has a unique extension to an absolute value on  $L$ , given by

$$|\alpha|_L = \sqrt[n]{|N_{L/K}(\alpha)|},$$

where  $n = [L : K]$  and  $N_{L/K}$  is the field norm. Moreover,  $L$  is complete with respect to this absolute value.

**Corollary.** Let  $K$  be complete and  $M/K$  be an algebraic extension of  $K$ . Then  $|\cdot|$  extends uniquely to an absolute value on  $M$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $K$  be a complete valued field and  $L/K$  a finite extension. If  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$ , then  $|\sigma(\alpha)|_L = |\alpha|_L$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a complete valued field, and  $V$  a finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space. Then  $V$  is complete under the max norm.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a complete valued field, and  $V$  a finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space. Then any norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $V$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ .

**Corollary.**  $V$  is complete with respect to any norm.

**Lemma.** Let  $K$  be a valued field. Then the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is integrally closed in  $K$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $L$  be a field and  $|\cdot|$  a function that satisfies all axioms of an absolute value but the strong triangle inequality. Then  $|\cdot|$  is an absolute value iff  $|\alpha| \leq 1$  implies  $|\alpha + 1| \leq 1$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a complete valued field, and let  $L/K$  be a finite extension. Then the absolute value on  $K$  has a unique extension to an absolute value on  $L$ , given by

$$|\alpha|_L = \sqrt[n]{|N_{L/K}(\alpha)|},$$

where  $n = [L : K]$  and  $N_{L/K}$  is the field norm. Moreover,  $L$  is complete with respect to this absolute value.

**Corollary** (of the proof). Let  $K$  be a complete valued field, and  $L/K$  a finite extension. We equip  $L$  with  $|\cdot|_L$  extending  $|\cdot|$  on  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_L$  is the integral closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in  $L$ .

### 2.3 Newton polygons

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be complete valued field, and  $v$  the valuation on  $K$ . We let

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \in K[x].$$

Let  $L$  be the splitting field of  $f$  over  $K$ , equipped with the unique extension  $w$  of  $v$ .

If  $(r, v(a_r)) \rightarrow (s, v(a_s))$  is a line segment of the Newton polygon of  $f$  with slope  $-m \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  has precisely  $s - r$  roots of valuation  $m$ .

**Corollary.** If  $f$  is irreducible, then the Newton polygon has a single line segment.

### 3 Discretely valued fields

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a discretely valued field with uniformizer  $\pi$ . Let  $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  be a set of coset representatives of  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K = k_K$  containing 0. Then

- (i) The non-zero ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  are  $\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$  for  $n \geq 0$ .
- (ii) The ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a PID with unique prime  $\pi$  (up to units), and  $\mathfrak{m}_K = \pi \mathcal{O}_K$ .
- (iii) The topology on  $\mathcal{O}_K$  induced by the absolute value is the  $\pi$ -adic topology.
- (iv) If  $K$  is complete, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete.
- (v) If  $K$  is complete, then any  $x \in K$  can be written uniquely as

$$x = \sum_{n \gg -\infty}^{\infty} a_n \pi^n,$$

where  $a_n \in S$ , and

$$|x| = |\pi|^{-\inf\{n: a_n \neq 0\}}.$$

- (vi) The completion  $\hat{K}$  is also discretely valued and  $\pi$  is a uniformizer, and moreover the natural map

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_k}{\pi^n \mathcal{O}_k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}}}{\pi^n \mathcal{O}_{\hat{K}}}$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a discretely valued field. Then  $K$  is a local field iff  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is compact.

**Proposition.**  $R$  is a DVR iff  $R \cong \mathcal{O}_K$  for some DVF  $K$ .

#### 3.1 Teichmüller lifts

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a ring, and let  $x \in R$ . Assume that  $R$  is  $x$ -adically complete and that  $R/xR$  is perfect of characteristic  $p$ . Then there is a unique map  $[-] : R/xR \rightarrow R$  such that

$$[a] \equiv a \pmod{x}$$

and

$$[ab] = [a][b].$$

for all  $a, b \in R/xR$ . Moreover, if  $R$  has characteristic  $p$ , then  $[-]$  is a ring homomorphism.

**Lemma.** Let  $R$  be a ring with  $x \in R$  such that  $R/xR$  has characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  be such that

$$\alpha = \beta \pmod{x^k} \tag{†}$$

Then we have

$$\alpha^p = \beta^p \pmod{x^{k+1}}.$$

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field of equal characteristic  $p$ , and assume that  $k_K$  is perfect. Then  $K \cong k_K((T))$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $K$  be a local field of equal characteristic  $p$ . Then  $k_K \cong \mathbb{F}_q$  for some  $q$  a power of  $p$ , and  $K \cong F_q((T))$ .

### 3.2 Witt vectors\*

**Lemma.** Let  $A$  be a strict  $p$ -ring. Then any element of  $A$  can be written uniquely as

$$a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n]p^n,$$

for a unique  $a_n \in A/pA$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be strict  $p$ -rings and let  $f : A/pA \rightarrow B/pB$  be a ring homomorphism. Then there is a unique homomorphism  $F : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $f = F \bmod p$ , given by

$$F\left(\sum [a_n]p^n\right) = \sum [f(a_n)]p^n.$$

**Proposition.** Let  $A$  be a strict  $p$ -ring and  $B$  be a ring with an element  $x$  such that  $B$  is  $x$ -adically complete and  $B/xB$  is perfect of characteristic  $p$ . If  $f : A/pA \rightarrow B/xB$  is a ring homomorphism. Then there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $F : A \rightarrow B$  with  $f = F \bmod x$ , i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{F} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A/pA & \xrightarrow{f} & B/xB \end{array} .$$

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a perfect ring. Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism) strict  $p$ -ring  $W(R)$  called the *Witt vectors* of  $R$  such that  $W(R)/pW(R) \cong R$ .

Moreover, for any other perfect ring  $R$ , the reduction mod  $p$  map gives a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ring}}(W(R), W(R')) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ring}}(R, R') .$$

**Proposition.** A complete DVR  $A$  of mixed characteristic with perfect residue field and such that  $p$  is a uniformizer is the same as a strict  $p$ -ring  $A$  such that  $A/pA$  is a field.

**Corollary.** Let  $R$  be a complete DVR of mixed characteristic with absolute ramification index 1 and perfect residue field  $k$ . Then  $R \cong W(k)$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a complete DVR of mixed characteristic  $p$  with a perfect residue field  $k$  and uniformizer  $\pi$ . Then  $R$  is finite over  $W(k)$ .

**Corollary.** Let  $K$  be a mixed characteristic local field. Then  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

## 4 Some $p$ -adic analysis

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a complete valued field with an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  and assume that  $K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $|\cdot|$  restricts to the usual  $p$ -adic norm on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $\exp(x)$  converges for  $|x| < p^{-1/(p-1)}$  and  $\log(1+x)$  converges for  $|x| < 1$ , and then define continuous maps

$$\begin{aligned} \exp : \{x \in K : |x| < p^{-1/(p-1)}\} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K \\ \log : \{1+x \in K : |x| < 1\} &\rightarrow K. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem** (Mahler's theorem). Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  be any continuous function. Then there is a unique sequence  $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n},$$

and moreover

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p} |f(x)| = \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_k|.$$

**Proposition.** The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  defined above is in fact a (non-archimedean) norm, and that  $C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  is complete under this norm.

**Lemma.** Let  $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Then there exists some  $k \geq 1$  such that

$$\|\Delta^{p^k} f\| \leq \frac{1}{p} \|f\|.$$

**Proposition.** The map  $f \mapsto (a_n(f))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  defines an injective norm-decreasing linear map  $C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow c_0$ .

**Lemma.** We have

$$\binom{x}{n} + \binom{x}{n-1} = \binom{x+1}{n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $a = (a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in c_0$ . We define  $f_a : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  by

$$f_a(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \binom{x}{n}.$$

This defines a norm-decreasing linear map  $c_0 \rightarrow C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Moreover  $a_n(f_a) = a_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $V, W$  are normed spaces, and  $F : V \rightarrow W$ ,  $G : W \rightarrow V$  are maps such that  $F$  is injective and norm-decreasing, and  $G$  is norm-decreasing and  $FG = \text{id}_W$ . Then  $GF = \text{id}_V$  and  $F$  and  $G$  are norm-preserving.

## 5 Ramification theory for local fields

### 5.1 Ramification index and inertia degree

**Theorem.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension. Then

$$[L : K] = e_{L/K} f_{L/K}.$$

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a local field, and  $L/K$  a finite extension of degree  $n$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_L$  is a finitely-generated and free  $\mathcal{O}_K$  module of rank  $n$ , and  $k_L/k_K$  is an extension of degree  $\leq n$ .

Moreover,  $L$  is also a local field.

**Theorem.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension. Then

$$[L : K] = e_{L/K} f_{L/K},$$

and there is some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ .

**Corollary.** If  $M/L/K$  is a tower of finite extensions of local fields, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{M/K} &= f_{L/K} f_{M/L} \\ e_{M/K} &= e_{L/K} e_{M/L} \end{aligned}$$

### 5.2 Unramified extensions

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a local field. For every finite extension  $\ell/k_K$ , there is a *unique* (up to isomorphism) finite unramified extension  $L/K$  with  $k_L \cong \ell$  over  $k_K$ . Moreover,  $L/K$  is Galois with

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \cong \text{Gal}(\ell/k_K).$$

**Lemma.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite unramified extension of local fields and let  $M/K$  be a finite extension. Then there is a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{K\text{-Alg}}(L, M) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k_K\text{-Alg}}(k_L, k_M)$$

given in one direction by restriction followed by reduction.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a local field, and  $L/K$  a finite unramified extension, and  $M/K$  finite. Say  $L, M$  are subfields of some fixed algebraic closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ . Then  $LM/M$  is unramified. Moreover, any subextension of  $L/K$  is unramified over  $K$ . If  $M/K$  is unramified as well, then  $LM/K$  is unramified.

**Corollary.** Let  $K$  be a local field, and  $L/K$  finite. Then there is a unique maximal subfield  $K \subseteq T \subseteq L$  such that  $T/K$  is unramified. Moreover,  $[T : K] = f_{L/K}$ .

### 5.3 Totally ramified extensions

**Theorem** (Eisenstein criterion). Let  $K$  be a local field, and  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ . Let  $\pi_K$  be the uniformizer of  $K$ . If  $\pi_K \mid a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0$  and  $\pi_K^2 \nmid a_0$ , then  $f$  is irreducible.

**Proposition.** Let  $L/K$  be an extension of local fields, and  $v_K$  be the normalized valuation. Let  $w$  be the unique extension of  $v_K$  to  $L$ . Then the ramification index  $e_{L/K}$  is given by

$$e_{L/K}^{-1} = w(\pi_L) = \min\{w(x) : x \in \mathfrak{m}_L\},$$

**Proposition.** Let  $L/K$  be a totally ramified extension of local fields. Then  $L = K(\pi_L)$  and the minimal polynomial of  $\pi_L$  over  $K$  is Eisenstein.

Conversely, if  $L = K(\alpha)$  and the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $K$  is Eisenstein, then  $L/K$  is totally ramified and  $\alpha$  is a uniformizer of  $L$ .

## 6 Further ramification theory

### 6.1 Some filtrations

**Proposition.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_K/U_K^{(1)} &\cong (k_K^\times, \cdot), \\ U_K^{(s)}/U_K^{(s+1)} &\cong (k_K, +). \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \geq 1$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of local fields. Then the homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k_L/k_K)$$

given by reduction is surjective.

**Lemma.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of local fields, and let  $\sigma \in I(L/K)$ . Then  $\sigma([x]) = [x]$  for all  $x$ .

More generally, let  $x \in k_L$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  with image  $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(k_L/k_K)$ . Then we have

$$[\bar{\sigma}(x)] = \sigma([x]).$$

**Proposition.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of local fields, and  $v_L$  the normalized valuation of  $L$ . Let  $\pi_L$  be the uniformizer of  $L$ . Then  $G_{s+1}(L/K)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_s(L/K)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and the map

$$\frac{G_s(L/K)}{G_{s+1}(L/K)} \rightarrow \frac{U_L^{(s)}}{U_L^{(s+1)}}$$

given by

$$\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma(\pi_L)}{\pi_L}$$

is a well-defined injective group homomorphism, independent of the choice of  $\pi_L$ .

**Corollary.**  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is solvable.

**Proposition.**  $G_1(L/K)$  is always a  $p$ -group.

### 6.2 Multiple extensions

**Proposition.** Let  $M/L/K$  be finite extensions of local fields, and  $M/K$  Galois. Then

$$G_s(M/K) \cap \text{Gal}(M/L) = G_s(M/L).$$

**Theorem** (Herbrand's theorem). Let  $M/L/K$  be finite extensions of local fields with  $M/K$  and  $L/K$  Galois. Then there is some function  $\eta_{M/L}$  such that

$$G_t(L/K) \cong \frac{G_s(M/K)}{G_s(M/L)}$$

for all  $s$ , where  $t = \eta_{M/L}(s)$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension of local fields, and pick  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ . Then

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) = v_L(\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha).$$

**Proposition.** Let  $M/L/K$  be a finite extension of local fields, such that  $M/K$  and  $L/K$  are Galois. Then for  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , we have

$$i_{L/K}(\sigma) = e_{M/L}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \text{Gal}(M/K) \\ \tau|_L = \sigma}} i_{M/K}(\tau).$$

**Theorem** (Herbrand's theorem). Let  $M/L/K$  be a finite extension of local fields with  $M/K$  and  $L/K$  Galois. We set

$$H = \text{Gal}(M/L), \quad t = \eta_{M/L}(s).$$

Then we have

$$\frac{G_s(M/K)H}{H} = G_t(L/K).$$

By some isomorphism theorem, and the fact that  $H \cap G_s(M/K) = G_s(M/L)$ , this is equivalent to saying

$$G_t(L/K) \cong \frac{G_s(M/K)}{G_s(M/L)}.$$

**Proposition.** Write  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . Then

$$\eta_{L/K}(s) = \int_0^s \frac{dx}{(G_0(L/K) : G_x(L/K))}.$$

When  $-1 \leq x < 0$ , our convention is that

$$\frac{1}{(G_0(L/K) : G_x(L/K))} = (G_x(L/K) : G_0(L/K)),$$

which is just equal to 1 when  $-1 < x < 0$ . So

$$\eta_{L/K}(s) = s \text{ if } -1 \leq s \leq 0.$$

**Lemma.** Let  $M/L/K$  be a finite extension of local fields, and  $M/K$  and  $L/K$  be Galois. Then

$$\eta_{M/K} = \eta_{L/K} \circ \eta_{M/L}.$$

Hence

$$\psi_{M/K} = \psi_{M/L} \circ \psi_{L/K}.$$

**Corollary.** Let  $M/L/K$  be finite Galois extensions of local fields, and  $H = \text{Gal}(M/L)$ . Let  $t \in [-1, \infty)$ . Then

$$\frac{G^t(M/K)H}{H} = G^t(L/K).$$

## 7 Local class field theory

### 7.1 Infinite Galois theory

**Proposition.** Let  $M/K$  be a Galois extension. Then  $\text{Gal}(M/K)$  is compact and Hausdorff, and if  $U \subseteq \text{Gal}(M/K)$  is an open subset such that  $1 \in U$ , then there is an open normal subgroup  $N \subseteq \text{Gal}(M/K)$  such that  $N \subseteq U$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $M/K$  be a Galois extension. The set  $I$  of finite Galois subextensions  $L/K$  is a directed system under inclusion. If  $L, L' \in I$  and  $L \subseteq L'$ , then we have a restriction map

$$\cdot|_L^{L'} : \text{Gal}(L'/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K).$$

Then  $(\text{Gal}(L/K), \cdot|_L^{L'})$  is an inverse system, and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(M/K) &\rightarrow \varprojlim_{i \in I} \text{Gal}(L_i/K) \\ \sigma &\mapsto (\sigma|_{L_i})_{i \in I} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of topological groups.

**Theorem** (Fundamental theorem of Galois theory). Let  $M/K$  be a Galois extension. Then the map  $L \mapsto \text{Gal}(M/L)$  defines a bijection between subextensions  $L/K$  of  $M/K$  and closed subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ , with inverse given by sending  $H \mapsto M^H$ , the fixed field of  $H$ .

Moreover,  $L/K$  is finite if and only if  $\text{Gal}(M/L)$  is open, and  $L/K$  is Galois iff  $\text{Gal}(M/L)$  is normal, and then

$$\frac{\text{Gal}(L/K)}{\text{Gal}(M/L)} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

is an isomorphism of topological groups.

### 7.2 Unramified extensions and Weil group

**Proposition.** Let  $M/K$  be an unramified extension of local fields. Then  $M/K$  is Galois, and

$$\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong \text{Gal}(k_M/k_K)$$

via the reduction map.

**Proposition.** Let  $K$  be a local field, and  $M/K$  Galois. Then  $W(M/K)$  is dense in  $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ . Equivalently, for any finite Galois subextension  $L/K$  of  $M/K$ , the restriction map  $W(M/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$  is surjective.

If  $L/K$  is a finite subextension of  $M/K$ , then

$$W(M/L) = W(M/K) \cap \text{Gal}(M/L).$$

If  $L/K$  is also Galois, then

$$\frac{W(M/K)}{W(M/L)} \cong \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

via restriction.

### 7.3 Main theorems of local class field theory

**Theorem** (Local Artin reciprocity). There exists a unique topological isomorphism

$$\text{Art}_K : K^\times \rightarrow W(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$$

characterized by the properties

- (i)  $\text{Art}_K(\pi_K)|_{K^{\text{ur}}} = \text{Frob}_K$ , where  $\pi_K$  is *any* uniformizer.
- (ii) We have

$$\text{Art}_K(N_{L/K}(x))|_L = \text{id}_L$$

for all  $L/K$  finite abelian and  $x \in L^\times$ .

Moreover, if  $M/K$  is finite, then for all  $x \in M^\times$ , we know  $\text{Art}_M(x)$  is an automorphism of  $M^{\text{ab}}/M$ , and restricts to an automorphisms of  $K^{\text{ab}}/K$ . Then we have

$$\text{Art}_M(x)|_K^{K^{\text{ab}}} = \text{Art}_K(N_{M/K}(x)).$$

Moreover,  $\text{Art}_K$  induces an isomorphism

$$\frac{K^\times}{N_{M/K}(M^\times)} \rightarrow \text{Gal}\left(\frac{M \cap K^{\text{ab}}}{K}\right).$$

**Corollary.** Let  $L/K$  be finite. Then  $N(L/K) = N((L \cap K^{\text{ab}})/K)$ , and

$$(K^\times : N(L/K)) \leq [L : K]$$

with equality iff  $L/K$  is abelian.

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a local field. Then there is an isomorphism of posets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{open finite index} \\ \text{subgroups of } K^\times \end{array} \right\} & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite abelian} \\ \text{extensions of } L/K \end{array} \right\} \\ H & \longmapsto & (K^{\text{ab}})^{\text{Art}_K(H)} \\ N(L/K) & \longleftrightarrow & L/K \end{array}$$

In particular, for  $L/K$  and  $M/K$  finite abelian extensions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(LM/K) &= N(L/K) \cap N(M/K), \\ N(L \cap M/K) &= N(L/K)N(M/K). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension, and  $M/K$  abelian. Then  $N(L/K) \subseteq N(M/K)$  iff  $M \subseteq L$ .

## 8 Lubin–Tate theory

### 8.1 Motivating example

**Lemma.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite abelian extension. Then we have

$$e_{L/K} = (\mathcal{O}_K^\times : N_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times)).$$

**Corollary.** Let  $L/K$  be a finite abelian extension. Then  $L/K$  is unramified if and only if  $N_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times) = \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $K$  be a local field, and let  $L_m/K$  be the extension corresponding to  $\langle \pi_K^m \rangle \times \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let

$$L = \bigcup_m L_m.$$

Then we have

$$K^{\text{ab}} = K^{\text{ur}}L,$$

**Lemma.** We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} W(K^{\text{ab}}/K) &\cong W(K^{\text{ur}}L/K) \\ &\cong W(K^{\text{ur}}/K) \times \text{Gal}(L/K) \\ &\cong \text{Frob}_K^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{Gal}(L/K) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem** (Local Kronecker-Weber theorem).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ab}} &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n), \\ \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} &= \bigcup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \\ (n,p)=1}} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.** We have

$$G^s(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ab}}/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(1 + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(U^{(k)}),$$

where  $k$  is chosen such that  $k - 1 < s \leq k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Corollary.** If  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a finite abelian extension, then

$$G^s(L/\mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \left( \frac{N(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)(1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p)}{N(L/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \right),$$

where  $n - 1 < s \leq n$ .

### 8.2 Formal groups

**Lemma.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $F$  a formal group over  $R$ . Then

$$F(X, 0) = X.$$

Also, there exists a power series  $i(X) \in X \cdot R[[X]]$  such that

$$F(X, i(X)) = 0.$$

**Lemma.** Let  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$  and take a linear form

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, \quad a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Then there is a unique power series  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv L(x_1, \dots, x_n) \pmod{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2},$$

and

$$e_1(F(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = F(e_2(x_1), e_2(x_2), \dots, e_2(x_n)).$$

**Corollary.** Let  $e \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$  be a Lubin–Tate series. Then there are unique power series  $F_e(X, Y) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_e(X, Y) &\equiv X + Y \pmod{(X + Y)^2} \\ e(F_e(X, Y)) &= F_e(e(X), e(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary.** Let  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$  be Lubin–Tate series and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then there exists a unique power series  $[a]_{e_1, e_2} \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} [a]_{e_1, e_2}(X) &\equiv aX \pmod{X^2} \\ e_1([a]_{e_1, e_2}(X)) &= [a]_{e_1, e_2}(e_2(X)). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation, if  $e_1 = e_2 = e$ , we just write  $[a]_e = [a]_{e, e}$ .

**Theorem.** The Lubin–Tate  $\mathcal{O}_K$  modules for  $\pi$  are precisely the series  $F_e$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$  with formal  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module structure given by

$$a \mapsto [a]_e.$$

Moreover, if  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}_\pi$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $[a]_{e_1, e_2}$  is a homomorphism from  $F_{e_2} \rightarrow F_{e_1}$ .

If  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ , then it is an isomorphism with inverse  $[a^{-1}]_{e_2, e_1}$ .

### 8.3 Lubin–Tate extensions

**Proposition.** If  $F$  is a formal  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module, then  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$  becomes a (genuine)  $\mathcal{O}_K$  module under the operations  $+_F$  and  $\cdot$

$$\begin{aligned} x +_F y &= F(x, y) \\ a \cdot x &= [a]_F(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in \bar{\mathfrak{m}}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

We denote this  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_F$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $e(X) = X^q + \pi X$ . We let

$$f_n(X) = \underbrace{(e \circ \dots \circ e)}_{n \text{ times}}(X).$$

Then  $f_n$  has no repeated roots. Here we take  $f_0$  to be the identity function.

**Proposition.**  $F(n)$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n\mathcal{O}_K$  module of rank 1. In particular, it has  $q^n$  elements.

**Corollary.** We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{\pi^n\mathcal{O}_K} &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(F(n)) \\ \frac{U_K}{U_K^{(n)}} &\cong \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(F(n)).\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem.**  $L_n/K$  is a totally ramified abelian extension of degree  $q^{n-1}(q-1)$  with Galois group

$$\text{Gal}(L_n/K) \cong \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(F(n)) \cong \frac{U_K}{U_K^{(n)}}.$$

Explicitly, for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L_n/K)$ , there is a unique  $u \in U_K/U_K^{(n)}$  such that

$$\sigma(\lambda) = [u]_F(\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda \in F(n)$ . Under this isomorphism, for  $m \geq n$ , we have

$$\text{Gal}(L_m/L_n) \cong \frac{U_K^{(n)}}{U_K^{(m)}}.$$

Moreover, if  $F = F_e$ , where

$$e(X) = X^q + \pi(a_{q-1}\pi^{q-1} + \cdots + a_2X^2) + \pi X,$$

and  $\lambda_n \in F(n) \setminus F(n-1)$ , then  $\lambda_n$  is a uniformizer of  $L_n$  and

$$\phi_n(X) = \frac{e^n(X)}{e^{n-1}(X)} = X^{q^{n-1}(q-1)} + \cdots + \pi$$

is the minimal polynomial of  $\lambda_n$ . In particular,

$$N_{L_n/K}(-\lambda_n) = \pi.$$

**Theorem** (Generalized local Kronecker-Weber theorem). We have

$$K^{\text{ab}} = K^{\text{ur}}L_\infty$$

(for any  $\pi$ ).

**Theorem.** We have

$$N(L_n/K) = \langle \pi \rangle \times U_K^{(n)}.$$

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a local field. Then we have an isomorphism  $\text{Art} : K^\times \rightarrow W(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$  given by the composition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^\times & \xrightarrow{\text{Art}} & W(K^{\text{ab}}/K) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \langle \pi \rangle \times U_K & \longrightarrow & \text{Frob}_K^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K) \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is given by  $(\pi^m, u) \mapsto (\text{Frob}_K^m, \sigma_{u-1})$ , where

$$\sigma_u(\lambda) = [u]_F(\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F(n)$ .

**Theorem.** We have

$$G_s(L_n/K) = \begin{cases} \text{Gal}(L_n/K) & -1 \leq s \leq 0 \\ \text{Gal}(L_n/L_k) & q^{k-1} - 1 < s \leq q^k - 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 1 & s > q^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary.** We have

$$G^t(L_n/K) = \begin{cases} \text{Gal}(L_n/K) & -1 \leq t \leq 0 \\ \text{Gal}(L_n/L_k) & k-1 < t \leq k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \\ 1 & t > n-1 \end{cases}$$

In other words, we have

$$G^t(L_n/K) = \begin{cases} \text{Gal}(L_n/L_{\lceil t \rceil}) & -1 \leq t \leq n-1 \\ 1 & t > n-1 \end{cases},$$

where we set  $L_0 = K$ .

**Corollary.** When  $t > -1$ , we have

$$G^t(K^{\text{ab}}/K) = \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K^{\text{ur}}L_{\lceil t \rceil}),$$

and

$$\text{Art}_K^{-1}(G^t(K^{\text{ab}}/K)) = U^{(\lceil t \rceil)}.$$

**Corollary.** Let  $M/K$  be a finite abelian extension. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Art}_K : \frac{K^\times}{N(M/K)} \cong \text{Gal}(M/K).$$

Moreover, for  $t > -1$ , we have

$$G^t(M/K) = \text{Art}_K \left( \frac{U_K^{(\lceil t \rceil)} N(M/K)}{N(M/K)} \right).$$